

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Angelina J. Igoe,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	Civil Action No. 1:11-2917-TLW-SVH
	)	
Carolyn W. Colvin, Acting Commissioner	)	
of Social Security Administration,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

**ORDER**

Plaintiff brought this action pursuant to § 205(g) of the Social Security Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), to obtain judicial review of a final decision of the Defendant, Commissioner of Social Security, denying her claim for supplemental security income. This matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by United States Magistrate Judge Shiva V. Hodges, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2)(a), (D.S.C.). In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the decision of the Commissioner be reversed and that the case be remanded to the Commissioner for further administrative action consistent with the recommendation, pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). (Doc. # 28). The Commissioner has filed a notice that she will not file objections to the Report. (Doc. # 29).

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that Report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge,

this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is **ACCEPTED**. (Doc. # 28). The Commissioner's decision is **REVERSED** under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) and 1383(c)(3) and the case is **REMANDED** to the Commissioner for further administrative action consistent with the recommendations outlined in the Report.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/Terry L. Wooten  
United States District Judge

February 26, 2013  
Columbia, South Carolina